

MAYOR'S EXECUTIVE DECISION MAKING

Monday, 24 February 2014	
Mayor's Decision Log No. 51	

1. UPDATE ON THE PROVISION OF OLDER PEOPLE'S LUNCHCLUB SERVICES (Pages 1 - 16)

If you require any further information relating to this meeting, would like to request a large print, Braille or audio version of this document, or would like to discuss access arrangements or any other special requirements, please contact: John S. Williams, Service Head, Democratic Services

Tel: 0207 364 4204, e-mail: johns.williams@towerhamlets.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 1

Individual Mayoral Decision

51

Decision Log No: (To be inserted by Democratic Services)

TOWER HAMLETS

Report of: Robert McCulloch-Graham: Corporate Director,

Education, Social Care and Wellbeing

ites , File ,

Classification: Unrestricted

Update on the provision of Older People's Lunchclub Services

Is this a Key Decision?	Yes-/ No
Decision Notice	(Report author to state date of decision notice – either
Publication Date:	individual notice or within the Forward Plan)
General Exception or Urgency Notice published?	Not needed
Restrictions:	None

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to seek a Mayoral decision to validate the recommendations of the Corporate Grants Board held on 20th January 2014.
- 1.2 The approval sought is not regarded as a key decision as the total LCDF funding, already agreed by the Mayor, is less than 14% of the overall available funding for lunchclubs.
- 1.3 Social isolation and loneliness are often considered to be particular problems of older age. Reduced social contact, loneliness, isolation and being alone are thought to affect older people's quality of life and their health.
- 1.4 The Mainstream Grants (MSG) process awarded funding (from 1st January 2013 to 31st March 2015) to 33 lunch clubs across the borough targeting a wide range of older residents, including BME communities and users with dementia.
- 1.5 Additional funding was made available as part of 2013-14 budget process through the £954k funding for Accelerated Delivery workstreams to the sum of £152k (Lunch Club Development Fund).
- 1.6 Members asked that focus is given to the areas of highest prevalence of multigenerational families in the development of new lunch clubs to provide some respite to affected families.

- 1.7 This report provides an update on the performance of the Older People's Lunch Club services funded by the Council through Mainstream Grants (MSG) and the Lunch Club Development Fund (LCDF).
- 1.8 The report also looks to the future funding of the LCDF lunchclubs, in the context of the JSNA that is currently being written on the impact of loneliness, and proposes that the LCDF lunchclubs be extended to 30th June 2014 to better align them with the MSG funded lunchclubs and to enable them further opportunity to seek alternative income streams.
- 1.9 The report also seeks to extend the Lunchclub Development Officer post (0.6wte) to 30th June 2014 to continue the development and support the new lunchclubs.
- 1.10 In the short term, this report proposes that any underspend against the LCDF lunchclub budget is offset against the projected overspend in the MSG lunchclub budget.
- 1.11 The papers taken to the Corporate Grants Programme Board on 20th January 2014 are attached as Appendices One, Two and Three.

DECISION

- 2.1 The Mayor is asked to confirm the recommendations of the Corporate Grants Programme Board as outlined below
 - Note the discussion
 - Agree the proposal to extend the LCDF lunch clubs and 0.6wte officer to 30th June 2014
 - Agree that any underspend against the LDCF budget can be offset against the MSG lunch club overspend

APPROVALS

1. (If applicable) Corporate Director proposing the decision or his/her deputy

I approve the attached report and proposed decision above for submission to the Mayor.

Signed

Date

2. Chief Finance Officer or his/her deputy

	I have been consulted on the content of the attached report which includes my comments.
	Signed
3.	Monitoring Officer or his/her deputy
	I have been consulted on the content of the attached report which includes my comments.
	(For Key Decision only – delete as applicable) I confirm that this decision:- (a) has been published in advance on the Council's Forward Plan OR (b) is urgent and subject to the General Exception' or 'Special Urgency' provision at paragraph 18 or 19 respectively of the Access to Information Procedure Rules. Signed
5.	Mayor
	I agree the decision proposed above for the reasons set out in the attached report.
	Signed Date 21/2/14

DECISION

I have considered the above information, the monitoring information provided and advice on the use of the LCDF as detailed in the report.

I have considered whether or not this is a Key Decision under Article 13 of the Constitution. In making this decision I am of the view that:-

- The funding for these grant awards will be limited.
- The funding decisions are not of such import to result in substantial public interest.

- The funding involved in the proposed award is relatively insignificant compared with total grant funding being given over to lunch clubs.
- The LCDF monies have been agreed by myself previously.

In light of the above and taking all other considerations in to account, I am content that the lunchclubs' provision should be extended as recommended by the Corporate Grants Board is a non key decision.

I have decided to accept the recommendations of the Corporate Grants Board and I agree that the lunchclubs' provision should be extended.

My decision is based on the following reason:-

The extension of the lunchclubs represents benefits to a section of the Tower Hamlets community which needs respite from their overcrowded conditions.

Signed

Executive Mayor

Dated 2/12/14

Committee/Meeting Corporate Grants Programme Board	Date: 13 th January 2014	Classification: Unrestricted	Report No:
Report of:		Title:	
Robert McCulloch-Grah Director Education, Social Care a		Update on the pro	
Originating officer(s) Barbara Disney, Service Strategic Commissioning		Wards Affected: A	All

Lead Member	Cllr Abdul Asad
Community Plan Theme	A safe and supportive Community A Healthy Community
Strategic Priority	Housing and Overcrowding

1. INTRODUCTION/SUMMARY

- 1.1 Social isolation and loneliness are often considered to be particularly problems of older age. Reduced social contact, loneliness, isolation and being alone are thought to affect older people's quality of life and their health.
- 1.2 This report provides an update on the performance of Older People's Lunch Club services funded by the Council through Mainstream Grants (MSG) and the Lunch Club Development Fund (LCDF).
- 1.3 The report looks to the future funding of the LCDF lunchclubs, in the context of the JSNA that is currently being written on the impact of loneliness, and proposes that the LCDF lunchclubs be extended to 30th June 2014 to align them with the MSG funded lunchclubs and to enable them further opportunity to seek alternative income.
- 1.4 In the short term, this report proposes that any underspend against the LCDF lunchclub budget is offset against the projected overspend in the MSG lunchclub budget.

2. <u>DECISIONS REQUIRED</u>

- 2.1 The Corporate Grants Board is recommended to:
 - Note the contents of this report
 - Agree the proposal to extend the LCDF lunchclubs and the 0.6wte officer to 30th
 June 2014

Page 5

 Agree that any underspend against the LCDF budget can be offset against the MSG lunchclub overspend.

3. REASONS FOR THE DECISIONS

3.1 To ensure that the use of LCDF lunchclub budget is maximise and that there is a consistent approach to the delivery of lunchclubs across the borough, that meet local need.

4. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

4.1 Members could make the decision not to support these proposals and reduce or cease funding of lunchclubs from 31st March 2014.

5. BACKGROUND

5.1 The Council has long established arrangements for funding local third sector organisations through its 'mainstream grants programme process@. Lunchclub provision for older people are an integral part of these arrangements as they support older people in the community and deliver a range of health and social activities to support independence and address social isolation.

6. BODY OF THE REPORT

Current situation

- 6.1 There are currently 37 lunch clubs in receipt of LBTH funding. This includes 32 funded by MSG and five by LCDF. The primary purpose of Lunch Clubs is to enhance the lives of older people (50+) who may be at risk of social isolation or gradually losing their independence, through the provision of a range of practical and social activities. In addition, Lunch Clubs play a vital part in supporting people on low incomes to have a healthy cooked meal at minimal cost.
- 6.2. Grant Agreements with the 32 MSG-funded lunch clubs are in place until 31st March 2015 and represent a funding commitment of £374,747 per annum against a budget of £324,380 a shortfall of £50,367 per annum. Funded for a 27 month period from 1st January 2013, the lunchclubs target a wide range of older residents across the borough, including BME communities and users with dementia and their carers.
- 6.3 A 33rd MSG-funded Lunch Club Fern Street Settlement ceased operating at the end of September 2013 due to declining attendance figures.
- 6.4. There is a total allocated budget of £150,000 for the LCDF, which aims to set-up lunch clubs in wards where 20% or higher of residents aged 65+ are living in multigenerational households¹. The proposal to allocate this funding was supported as part of the 2013-14 budget process through the £954k funding for accelerated delivery workstreams. This budget includes salary costs for the Lunch Club Development Officer up to 31st March 2014. Currently, there are five lunch clubs operating under this funding stream. Although there are different start dates for

¹ Mayhew Harper population study of March ²⁰ Page 6

these projects, each of the grant agreements runs for a six-month period with funding that includes running costs and a contribution towards set-up costs. The commitment for these five lunchclubs to the end of December 2013 stands at £27,748.

- 6.5 Negotiations are well progressed with a further five organisations with a view to setting up LCDF-funded lunch clubs. They are:
 - Ensign Youth Club outputs have been agreed and the project will open during the first week in January 2014.
 - Locksley Estate TRA (Dora Hall) officers are expecting their proposal during the first week of January 2014, with a view to opening during the second or third week in January.
 - Limehouse Bangladeshi Cultural Centre and Mosque initial meeting held but the organisation is experiencing some internal problems and awaiting the election of a new Management Committee.
 - Shadwell Women's Group currently based in a private home so looking for premises. A number of options have been followed up with officer support but none have met expectations.
 - Vision for Globe Town officers are expecting their proposal during the first week of January 2014, with a view to opening during the second or third week in January.

If the recommendations in section 2 are accepted, this will mean that these lunchclubs will initially be given agreements for funding for periods shorter than six months up to 30th June 2014 (ie. From the date of opening to 30th June 2014)

Performance Update

- 6.6. The attached *Appendix One* provides information related to each lunch club and awards a RAG rating based on actual attendance during Q2 2013/14. The rating has been awarded as follows:
 - Green attendance is 100% or more against the agreed target
 - Amber attendance is between 70 and 99.9% of the agreed target
 - Red attendance falls below 70% of the agreed target
- 6.7. Of the 37 lunch clubs in operation, 31 monitoring returns have been received for the second quarter.

Two MSG-funded lunch clubs have not provided their returns:

- Kingsley Hall commenced on 1st April 2013 and the Monitoring Officer has unsuccessfully made efforts to obtain their monitoring information. Payments have not been made for Q1 or Q2 of 2013/14 as these can only be released upon receipt of satisfactory monitoring returns for the previous quarter.
- Children Education Group have not submitted their returns and has been subject to an internal Audit. Payment for Q1 2013/14 has recently been authorised and released. Payments for subsequent periods are suspended. The Audit has identified that this organisation represents poor value for money as it falls short of achieving targets. Additionally, Audit noted that a core group of individuals are receiving heavily subsidised daily meals through the organisation, without contribution to the cost. Strategic Commission of the cost. Strategic Commission of the cost.

Officers will be meeting with the provider shortly to re-negotiate the outputs and outcomes.

Only one of the five LCDF funded lunch clubs was able to provide information for Q2 2013/14, as this project commenced their service earlier than others on 11th June 2013. The remaining four lunch clubs are included in the appendix for completion but are not due to provide monitoring returns until the next period (Q3 2013/14).

- 6.8. The majority of lunch clubs are performing very well with 25 achieving over 80% of their attendance. 19 of these are meeting or beating their agreed attendance targets.
- 6.9 Three organisations rated as 'red' is as follows:
 - Newlon Fusion: The organisation has reported that attendance has reduced in this quarter due to eight members being unable to attend for various reasons (including long-term sickness; clash with physio appointments, long-term holiday). The organisation is attempting to increase attendance through publicity.
 - St Peter's Community Advice Centre: The organisation has reported that attendance has fallen off due to the discontinuation of activities as a result of a reduction in grant funding. The organisation is looking into ways to attract users, including introducing or reinstating new activities that are provided to the organisation free of charge.
 - Ocean Somali Community Association: Attendance has dropped from 55.7% in Q1 to 43.9% in Q2 despite the organisation's expectation to report increased figures. The project commenced on 1st April 2013.
- 6.10. In the previous Performance Report, three organisations were rated as 'red'. They were:
 - Poplar Bangladeshi Community Project: This organisation has reported an increase in attendance from 69.5% against target in Q1 to 76% in Q2.
 It is now rated as 'amber'.
 - Ocean Somali Community Association: Attendance has continued to decrease as noted above, and at the moment this may lead to a reduction in funding.
 - Fern Street Settlement: This service closed at the end of September 2013. Officers are reviewing possible alternative lunch clubs that can be established in LAP6 in order to ensure there is a form of continuation of provision in the area.
- 6.11. All MSG payments for those organisations that have submitted satisfactory monitoring returns are up to date. This excludes Kingsley Hall and Children Education Group as noted above. Initial payments (covering Q3 2013/14) for those LCDF-funded lunch clubs commencing during Q2 have been made.

Key Issues

- 6.12 For a number of reasons, the LCDF lunchclubs have taken longer to set up than initially envisaged. Reasons include the difficulty in identifying appropriate premises. This means that most organisations would not have had the opportunity to offer a service for a six month period without permission to roll over the available funding and would limit their opportunities to seek alternative sources of funding for when the Council's funding ends.
- 6.13 Lunchclubs are required to levy a proper charge to those seeking a meal within a lunchclub. This cost is equitable with that levied to people who are housebound or unable to cook their own meal and seek a meal through the Community Meals service. Not all lunchclubs seek this income and it is proposed, in line with recommendations of the Audit investigation into the Harkness Luncheon Club (Children's Educational Group), to more closely monitor this across both the MSG and LCDF lunchclubs to ensure equity for all older residents.
- 6.14 The MSG lunchclub funding stream comes to an end on 30th March 2015, and although a timetable has yet to be confirmed for the advertising and application process, it is proposed that Officers, in partnership with Tower Hamlets CVS, run a workshop to support lunchclub providers to apply for funding elsewhere and seek other avenues to maximise income.
- 6.15 The current financial situation for the LCDF lunchclubs as outlined in *Appendix Two*, indicates a potential underspend of at least £23,786, although this may be notably more, dependent on the start date of the four lunchclubs currently in development, and, indeed, whether they all enter into an agreement with the Council to offer a service.
- 6.16 As there is a significant projected overspend on the MSG lunchclubs of £50,367 per annum, in the financial years 2013-14 and again in 2014-15, totalling £100,734 officers propose that any underspend identified in the LCDF funding stream is reallocated to the MSG during 2014-15.
- 6.17 The reason for the overspend in the MSG lunchclub programme is because the ADP lunchclubs were continued without commensurate increase in MSG funding.

7 COMMENTS OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

- 7.1 Recurrent funding of £0.324m in the base budget for Older People Commissioning and one-off funding of £0.152magreed as a decision of the Executive Mayor in June 2013 for Lunch Club Development is available for 2013/14 and 2014/15 to meet the recommendations of the report.
- 8 CONCURRENT REPORT OF THE ASSISTANT CHIEF EXECUTIVE (LEGAL SERVICES)

8.1

8.2

9. ONE TOWER HAMLETS CONSIDERATIONS

9.1 The service specification supports two of the four themes of the Community Plan: Page 9

A healthy community

Lunch clubs contribute to the healthy community theme by offering a nutritious meal, supporting the prevention agenda and offering additional opportunities for advice around healthy living and exercise activities and health promotion.

A safe and supportive community

Lunch club provision contributes to the safe and supportive community theme by promoting peer support and volunteering and ensuring that services are safe to use for service users

- 9.2 The earlier round of MSG worked to ensure that lunch club provision enabled the needs of a wide range of clients including those with learning disabilities, physical disabilities and long term conditions to be catered for. Special focus was given to providing services to the diverse faith and ethnic communities in Tower Hamlets. The proposal in this paper focuses on older adults living in overcrowded conditions, usually in multi-generational families, which is a characteristic of certain parts of the Borough.
- 9.3 However, due regard continues to be given to encourage people from protected groups to participate in public life or in other activities where their participation is disproportionately low through volunteering, and engagement in shaping services and decision that affect their own lives, such as involvement in user groups.

10. SUSTAINABLE ACTION FOR A GREENER ENVIRONMENT

There are no immediate sustainability or environmental issues to consider. The prospective service providers, as organisations within the borough, would be required to comply with all national and local legislation regarding energy conservation, recycling etc. As services will be provided locally, most of their staff would be local too, thereby reducing commuting.

11. RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

11.1 Detailed service specifications and targets will be negotiated and appropriate monitoring arrangements maintained to minimise risk of underperformance of these services. The service agreements will contain appropriate dispute, claw-back, liability and termination clauses.

12. CRIME AND DISORDER REDUCTION IMPLICATIONS

12.1 There are no immediate Crime and Disorder reduction implications.

13. EFFICIENCY STATEMENT

13.1 There are no cashable savings to be made by these proposals but the transfer of the LCDF underspend to offset the MSG overspend minimises the overall impact on the budgets.

14.

- APPENDICES
 Appendix One Performance Data
 Appendix Two Lunchclub Development Fund Impact of extending projects to 30th June 2014.

Notes																										Rated as red in the performance report covering Q1 2013/14		Organisation have reported that attendance has dropped due to members on holiday, moving or passing away.		Subject to ongoing Internal Audit. OI payment authorised, all others suspended No monitoring received for O2.	Monitoring data not received for Q1 or Q2 2013/14. Service commenced 01/04/13.		Notes					
RAG Rate															100															A/N	A/N		RAG Rate		N/A	N/A	N/A	4/14
Q3 13/14 payment	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	101	Paid Not Paid	+		Q3 13/14 payment	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	7.0
Q2 13/14 payment	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Lair.	Not paid	Not paid		02 13/14 payment	Paid	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Q1 13/14 payment	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	2 2	Paid	Paid		Q1 13/14 payment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
% against Target (Q2 13/14)	332.6	196.0	146.7	141.4	138.3	131.9	120.0	115.4	115.4	107.1	106.8	104.8	101.5	100.7	100.6	100.6	100.4	100.0	100.0	93.8	89 89 89	88.7	84.6	80.7	78.1	76.0	71.8	59.7	100	43.9 N/A	N/A		% egainst Target (Q2 13/14)	100.0	4/A	4/A	V/A	
Agreed daily (average) Target	70	12.5	15	30	20	20	40	13	13	20	19	15	75	22	70	15	15	æ	70	30	æ	17	25	20	70	20	15	21 2	1 :	25	11		Agreed daily (average)	20	15 N/A	15 N/A	15 N/A	
Average Daily att. Q2(13/14)	66.51	24.50	22.00	42.42	27.67	26.38	48.00	15.00	15.00	21.42	20.29	15.72	25.38	25.18	20.13	15.08	15.06	30.00	20.00	28.15	26.63	15.08	21.16	16.15	15.63	15.21	10.77	8.96	3,5	6.58 N/A	N/A		Average Daily att. Q2(13/14)	20.00	N/A	N/A	/A	
Total sessions (02(13/14) (76	56	24	43	3	16	23	39	40	19	24	39	24	22	24	24	65	00	40	39	24	24	38	22	40	24	25	55	3 5	6	0		Total sessions [OZ(13/14) C	9		N/A N	N/A N	
Total stend. s Q2(13/14) C	5055	637	528	1824	83	422	1104	585	909	407	487	613	609	554	483	362	979	240	800	1098	639	362	804	436	625	365	260	233	3 5	0	0		Total sattend. s	120	N/A N			
Service Commenced	01/01/2013	01/01/2013	06/03/2013	01/01/2013	01/04/2013	01/01/2013	01/01/2013	01/01/2013	01/01/2013	01/01/2013	01/01/2013	01/01/2013	01/01/2013	01/01/2013	01/01/2013	01/01/2013	01/01/2013	01/01/2013	01/01/2013	01/01/2013	01/01/2013	01/01/2013	01/01/2013	11/03/2013	01/01/2013	01/01/2013	01/01/2013	01/01/2013	202/20	01/04/2013	01/04/2013		Service Commenced	01/06/2013	11/09/2013 N	04/10/2013 N	23/09/2013 N/A	
	4,800 01/	1,200 01/	2,025 06/	7,200 01/	260 01/	2,880 01/	3,840 01/	1,748 01/	1,170 01,		1,710 01/,1	2,160 01/		3,375 01/			_		2,700 01/		- 1			- 1				1,440 01/	П	4,800 01/		80,369		40	720 11/		720 23/	
Weeks units per per year year	48 4	48	45	48	52		48	46			45.			45		48						46						48		48 4		- 8	Weeks units per	L	24	24	24	
Daysiper Weeks week peryear	2	2	æ	.c	0.25	3	2	3	2	m	2	m	E	3	2	4	2	-	E	9	3	3	E	8	m	7	4	2 2	1	7 4	2		Days per Weeks	m	2	2	2	
Agreed (average) Users per Day session w	20	12.5	15	30	20	20	40	13	13	20	19	15	52	22	20	15	13	8	50	9	8	17	22	22	20	50	57	15	1	25	30	374746.7	Agreed (average) Users per Day session wi	Ļ	15	15	15	-
Final Ag award (ave tover 27 Use months) ses	30,000	1,000	00000	16,000	16,200	16,000	1,000	8,000	000'01	000'91	000'9	1,000	8,700	00000	2,000	1,000	8,000	8,000	0000	2,000	000'9	2,000	2,000	9,000	4,000	1,000	5,000	5,000	1 300	35,000	8,000	843,180 374	Award Ag max.* (ave tower 6 months) ses		6,500	2,000	6,700	
anisation S funded)	1 Age UK - Appian Court	2 Chinese Association of Tower Hamlets	3 Healthy Chula	4 Somali Senior Citizens Club	5 Alzheimer's Society	6 Black Women's Health and Family Support	7 Community of Refugees from Vietnam	8 St Peter's Bengall Association			lith and Family Services		st	uc	chool		munity Centre			ity Centre	leveland Estate			y Association		ommunity Project	Club	28 Newton Fusion 20 St Deter's Community and Advise Control	T		entre	w	Organisation (LCDF funded)	33 Westferry Community Organisation		ntre and Mosque		

Page 13

This page is intentionally left blank

Lunch Club Development Fund - Impact of Extending Projects to 30th June 2014

	100000000000000000000000000000000000000								
Project	Start Date	End Date	Running eests up to	Set-up cost up to 31 Dec	Total Total Set-up cost commitment up to 31 Bec up to 31 Dec	Projected running coets from 1 Jan 14 - 30	30 mg		Grand projected total from project start date
Westferry Community Organisation	11.06.13	31.12.13	6.999	2 972	9 971	6 900	- Tuno	4- 30 Jun 14	to 30 Jun 14
BBC Community Centre	11.09.13	10 03 14	3.077	1 500	4 577	000		0.999	0/6'01
Al Huda	04 40 42	02 04 44		- 0	1,0,4	000,0	'	000,0	9,577
	21.01.12	41.14	2,500	2,000	4,500	5,000	1	2,000	9.500
Reacoat Elders Club	23.09.13	24.03.14	2,692	1.700	4.392	5.000	1	2 000	0 303
Eshatul Islam	10.10.13	09.04.14	2 308	2 000	4 308	2000	1 1 1 1 1	0,000	9,392
Freion	77 70 00	, , , ,		1000	ה לי	200,0	1,134	0,134	10,462
	03.01.14	30.06.14	1	•	-	5,000	2,000	7,000	7.000
Locksiey I KA (Dora Hall) *	tpc	tpc	,	•	,	5.000	2 000	7 000	2000
Vision of Globe Town*	tpc	tpc	,		<u> </u>	2,000	2000	7,000	7,000
Limehouse Bangladeshi CA*	tpc	tbc		,	,	000,4	2,000	7,000	7,000
Shadwell Women's Group*	t t	thought.				000,0	2,000	ייטחח' י	000'
Linch Club Douglasmant Office	3	3			'	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
Fairei Old Development Officer	101.04.13			N. C. C.	21,188			15,135	36,323
			17,576	10,172	48,936	51,999	11,154	78,288	127,224

150,000	48,936	78,288	127,224	22,776	
Total Budget	Commitment up to 31.12.13	Total projected cost 01.01.14 - 30.06.14	Grand total from Project start date to 30.06.14	Projected underspend	

127,224

*Project start dates and values tbc. Maximum value of £5k running costs, £2k set-up costs used with a default start date of 1st January 2014

This page is intentionally left blank